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Cardiovascular consequences of drugs used for the treatment of diabetes: potential promise of incretin—based therapies
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Abstract

Cardiovascular disease is the predominant cause of death in diabetic patients, and yet the cardiovascular benefits of traditional drug treatments for hyperglycemia have been elusive. Two new classes of diabetic drugs targeting the glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) incretin pathway have emerged. The GLP-1 receptor agonists reduce blood glucose levels by stimulating insulin and inhibiting glucagon secretion and gastric emptying. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors prolong the half-life of endogenous GLP-1 by inhibiting its proteolytic degradation to the metabolite GLP-1(9-36), thereby increasing insulin and reducing glucagon secretion. Here, we review the biology of GLP-1, including studies of GLP-1 in animal models and humans with heart disease. We also highlight the emerging salutary cardiovascular effects of both GLP-1 and GLP-1(9-36). Unlike the GLP-1R agonist Exendin-4, both GLP-1 and GLP-1(9-36) exert vasodilatory actions on coronary and peripheral mouse vessels. Importantly, the effects of GLP-1 on isolated hearts undergoing experimental ischemia and preconstricted mesenteric arteries were reduced but not abolished by the DPP-4 inhibitor Sitagliptin. We posit that GLP-1-based therapeutics represent novel and promising anti-diabetes drugs, the direct cardiovascular actions of which may translate into demonstrable clinical benefits on cardiovascular outcomes. J Am Soc Hypertens 2009;3(4):245–259. © 2009 American Society of Hypertension. All rights reserved.
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Introduction

The Pandemic of Diabetes

Diabetes mellitus is a group of metabolic disorders characterized by hyperglycemia resulting from defects in insulin secretion, action, or both.¹ Processes involved in the development of diabetes range from autoimmune destruction of β cells (type 1) to abnormalities of β-cell function and insulin resistance (type 2).¹ The number of patients suffering from diabetes worldwide was ~170 million in 2000, and is predicted to exceed 300 million by 2025.² Although India and China exhibit the highest global prevalence of diabetes,² it is estimated that more
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than 9% of the general U.S. population has diabetes, with another 26% exhibiting impaired glucose tolerance. As such, a staggering 35% of Americans manifest impaired glucose homeostasis. Given the adverse consequences of hyperglycemia on health, these prevalence rates attest to a significant and worsening public health issue, burdening health care resources in both the developed and developing world.

**Diabetes and Cardiovascular Disease**

Patients with diabetes are at increased risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD). Indeed, CVD is the predominant cause of death in diabetic patients, with complications such as myocardial infarction and stroke causing 75% of all deaths. Compared with nondiabetic controls, diabetic patients have a several-fold increased risk of developing CVD. Type 1 patients possess a 10 times higher risk than the general population, whereas type 2 patients have a 3 times higher risk of cardiovascular death. In general, diabetic patients have a 3 to 5 times increased incidence of death from coronary heart disease compared with nondiabetic subjects, a risk level that equates with nondiabetic patients who have already suffered a myocardial infarction.

Why does this occur? Evidence suggests that the high prevalence of heart disease among diabetic patients is due to the deleterious effects of hyperglycemia on cardiovascular pathophysiology. Studies show that the association between CVD and blood glucose level is linear, there being no threshold level that emerges as “high-risk.” Blood glucose levels below the diabetic and impaired glucose tolerance ranges continue to have negative effects on cardiovascular outcomes. This may be due to the role played by chronic hyperglycemia on the development of atherosclerosis through cellular and molecular abnormalities in multiple relevant cell types. Pathogenetic mechanisms linking high blood glucose levels with atherosclerosis include endothelial cell dysfunction, increased generation of glycosylated products, oxidative stress, and impaired vasodilation. Collectively, these abnormalities increase the occurrence and severity of thrombosis, vessel narrowing, and occlusion. Therefore, in addition to the microvascular dysfunction caused by diabetes (retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy), hyperglycemia accelerates macrovascular pathologies such as atherosclerosis and contributes to sequelae such as myocardial infarction, stroke, peripheral vascular disease, and heart failure.

Diabetes often coexists with other well-established risk factors for CVD such as hypertension and dyslipidemia. Individually, hypertension is the most common cardiovascular risk factor and a modifiable risk factor with great impact on CVD burden and mortality. Fortunately, several studies have demonstrated that pharmacological control of blood pressure (BP) leads to reductions in harmful cardiovascular outcomes such as stroke and myocardial infarction, especially in circumstances of secondary prevention. Similarly, dyslipidemia is another key risk factor for CVD in which the management of blood lipid levels is effective in improving cardiovascular outcomes, particularly in survivors of an acute coronary event. However, in stark contrast to the indisputable cardiovascular benefits of treating hypertension and dyslipidemia, the benefits of lowering blood glucose to reduce macrovascular disease have been difficult to demonstrate.

**Cardiovascular Effects of Drugs Commonly Used for the Treatment of Diabetes**

Although diabetes commonly coexists with hypertension and dyslipidemia, forming the multifactorial disease complex of “metabolic syndrome” (including visceral obesity, hyperinsulinemia, endothelial dysfunction, and impaired fibrinolysis), the negative cardiovascular effects of hyperglycemia exist independently of other associated metabolic risk factors. Indeed, considering the effects of elevated blood glucose levels on the cardiovascular system, interventions that aim to limit hyperglycemia should have a positive effect on cardiovascular outcomes. And yet, reduction of blood glucose has been unable to produce meaningful improvements in cardiovascular outcomes such as myocardial infarction or stroke. For instance, the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) did not find an association between glycemic control and reduction of blood glucose has been unable to produce meaningful improvements in cardiovascular outcomes, particularly in survivors of an acute coronary event.

Surprisingly little is known about the long-term effects of anti-diabetic agents on the cardiovascular system. Indeed, recent studies have shown that several groups of currently available diabetic drugs cause specific concerns, which may explain, in part, the lack of improved cardiovascular outcomes from the treatment of diabetes using specific agents.

A table summarizing our review of mechanistic literature that may underlie this conundrum is provided. Herein, we briefly expand on cardiovascular outcomes in diabetic patients taking 3 “traditional” commonly prescribed classes of oral anti-diabetic drugs: sulfonylureas, biguanides, and thiazolidinediones.

**Sulfonylureas and Potassium Channels**

Sulfonylureas have been prescribed for the treatment of type 2 diabetes for more than 50 years and include agents such as glibenclamide and glimepiride. Sulfonylureas act on pancreatic islet β cells to stimulate insulin release by binding to the β-cell sulfonylurea receptor (SUR-1) and blocking adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-dependent potassium channels. This in turn leads to a calcium ion influx and activation of calcium-dependent proteins that regulate insulin secretion (see also glucagon-like peptide-1
A recent large-scale analysis of the Diabetes Audit and Research in Tayside Scotland (DARTS) diabetes information system and the Medicines Monitoring Unit (MEMO) revealed that patients receiving sulfonylurea treatment, either alone or in combination with metformin, exhibited significantly increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality as well as all-cause mortality compared to patients treated with metformin alone.40 Another study assessing diabetic patients after angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction showed a 13% increase of in-hospital mortality in the sulfonylurea treatment group as compared with patients not on sulfonylureas.27 However, the 2003 LAngendreer Myocardial infarction and Blood glucose in Diabetic patients Assessment (LAMBDA) Study of type 2 diabetics demonstrated that, compared with other anti-diabetic agents, sulfonylurea treatment before acute myocardial infarction did not cause a significant difference in survival.41

[GLP-1]’s mechanism of action in the following section).38,39 A recent large-scale analysis of the Diabetes Audit and Research in Tayside Scotland (DARTS) diabetes information system and the Medicines Monitoring Unit (MEMO) revealed that patients receiving sulfonylurea treatment, either alone or in combination with metformin, exhibited significantly increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality as well as all-cause mortality compared to patients treated with metformin alone.40 Another study assessing diabetic patients after angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction showed a 13% increase of in-hospital mortality in the sulfonylurea treatment group as compared with patients not on sulfonylureas.27 However, the 2003 LAngendreer Myocardial infarction and Blood glucose in Diabetic patients Assessment (LAMBDA) Study of type 2 diabetics demonstrated that, compared with other anti-diabetic agents, sulfonylurea treatment before acute myocardial infarction did not cause a significant difference in survival.41

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Protective effect</th>
<th>Mechanism of action</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Survival kinases; opens mitochondrial $K_{ATP}$ channel; pharmacological preconditioning</td>
<td>Libby et al31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfonylureas</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Closes mitochondrial $K_{ATP}$ channels; inhibits ischemic preconditioning</td>
<td>Brady et al23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biguanides (metformin)</td>
<td>±/±</td>
<td>Activates AMPK; increases glucose uptake; induces platelet thrombosis and lactic acidosis</td>
<td>Davis et al26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a-Glycosidase inhibitors</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>Impairs glucose homeostasis in ischemic hearts</td>
<td>Calvert et al34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosiglitazone</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>PPAR$\gamma$ agonists have anti-inflammatory effects; increase glucose uptake; increase fluid retention;</td>
<td>Lautamaki et al29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioglitazone</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>Antioxidants; close mitochondrial-$K_{ATP}$ channel; proarhythmic</td>
<td>Home et al28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glinides</td>
<td>±/±</td>
<td>Promotes ischemic preconditioning; increases glucose uptake; NO-dependent vasorelaxation</td>
<td>Quast et al34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLP-1 analogues</td>
<td>+/±</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nikolaidis et al32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP-4 inhibitors</td>
<td>?/?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Soks et al36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amylin analogues</td>
<td>?/?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ban et al100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DPP-4, dipeptidyl peptidase-4; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1; AMPK, adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase; NO, nitric oxide; PPAR$\gamma$, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor.

Of interest, recently published findings from the Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease: Preterax and Diamicron Modified Release Controlled Evaluation (ADVANCE) and UKPDS have shown that sulfonylurea treatment had no significant effects on macrovascular events after an average 5- or 10-year follow-up, respectively.49,50 Therefore, additional research clarifying the link between long-term sulfonylurea use and cardiovascular outcomes is needed, because the current clinical evidence is limited and inconsistent.

**Biguanides Are Not Bygones**

The biguanide class of oral hypoglycemic agents includes metformin, one of the most widely prescribed medications for type 2 diabetes.37,51 These are insulin-sensitizing compounds that act by reducing gluconeogenesis in the liver, enhancing insulin receptor expression on myocytes and adipocytes, and increasing glucose uptake.37 Some studies have reported a cardioprotective effect of metformin in diabetic patients, but these findings remain controversial.52 Although the UKPDS reported modestly improved cardiovascular outcomes in obese patients...
receiving metformin monotherapy, it also noted findings of increased cardiovascular-related mortality in patients taking a combination of metformin and a sulfonylurea. Another study demonstrated increased mortality among type 2 diabetic patients taking metformin and a sulfonylurea in combination as compared with those on sulfonylurea treatment alone. Moreover, a 1999 study that followed diabetic patients with coronary heart disease over a 5-year period found that metformin monotherapy or combination metformin/sulfonylurea treatment was associated with an increase in the relative risk of death. Finally, a recent meta-analysis of observational studies demonstrated that the combination of metformin/sulfonylurea increased the relative risk of hospitalization and mortality from cardiovascular events. These findings are significant as metformin/sulfonylurea is the most commonly used combination therapy in clinical practice.

Although the exact molecular mechanisms of metformin’s glucose-lowering (and cardiovascular) effects are not entirely clear, chronic metformin treatment can impair gastrointestinal absorption of B vitamins, particularly folate. It has been suggested that this may lead to increased plasma homocysteine levels, leading to platelet, clotting factor, and endothelium disturbances that may contribute to the complications of atherosclerosis. Biguanides may also be contraindicated in patients with severe heart or kidney failure because of their propensity to cause lactic acidosis.

Troubles with Thiazolidinediones

The thiazolidinediones (TZDs) are a relatively newer class of drugs for diabetes, which make up to 25% of the oral antihyperglycemic prescriptions in clinical practice. Approved TZDs such as rosiglitazone and pioglitazone function as insulin-sensitizing agents by acting as agonists to the gamma form of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPARγ), which is expressed in multiple tissues, including adipocytes. PPARγ activation subsequently induces expression of genes involved in carbohydrate and lipid metabolism, increasing insulin sensitivity. Given the broader role of PPARγ in inflammatory signaling, these agents were originally hypothesized as being capable of greater vascular protection.

Regrettably, this early promise was diluted by studies reporting different cardiovascular problems with TZD therapy. First, TZD use leads to fluid retention and peripheral edema, with the latter manifesting clinically in about 5% of all patients. Increased fluid retention often causes weight gain and may worsen heart failure; hence, TZDs are contraindicated for patients with or at risk for heart failure (New York Heart Association Class III or IV). Although trials and meta-analyses have revealed that both rosiglitazone and pioglitazone are associated with an increased risk of heart failure in diabetic patients, the placebo-subtracted rate for this complication is quite low at 0.25% to 0.45% increased risk per year. Interestingly, a case report of two separate incidences of TZD-induced congestive heart failure has recently appeared, in which patients with no preexisting heart failure or history of cardiac disease developed cardiomyopathy after beginning TZD treatment. However, findings assuming a causal link between TZD treatment and heart failure independent of fluid retention are controversial and should be interpreted with caution. A recent review has noted that type 2 diabetes patients already possess a high underlying risk of heart failure, and that TZD-associated edema may simply exacerbate or unmask preexisting cardiovascular dysfunction, as opposed to directly causing heart failure.

In addition to heart failure, meta-analyses have demonstrated that rosiglitazone may increase the risk of other adverse cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes patients. For example, rosiglitazone may increase the relative risk of myocardial infarction, albeit by a small margin. Additionally, an interim report from an ongoing trial evaluating cardiac outcomes of rosiglitazone (Rosiglitazone Evaluated for Cardiac Outcomes and Regulation of Glycemia in Diabetes [RECORD] Study) has revealed that in combination with either metformin or sulfonylurea, rosiglitazone treatment is associated with a slight increase in myocardial infarction, cardiovascular hospitalization or death as compared with combination metformin/sulfonylurea treatment without rosiglitazone. However, this study has been criticized for its design.

The more recent Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (ACCORD) trial showed that diabetic patients receiving intensive glucose control exhibited increased rates of cardiovascular mortality compared to patients in the standard-control group. In this study, 91.2% of patients in the intensive-control group were treated with rosiglitazone compared with 57.5% of patients in the standard-control group. However, according to study analyses, increased cardiovascular mortality could not be attributed to rosiglitazone treatment. Some have speculated that the increased mortality in the intensive-control group may be attributable to the higher incidence and severity of hypoglycemia in this group or to the consequences of the weight gain also observed in intensively treated patients. However, the ACCORD trial found no significant relation between hypoglycemia and death or weight gain and death. Finally, despite several positive metabolic effects, rosiglitazone monotherapy has also been shown to increase low-density lipoprotein cholesterol by 10% to 15%.

Think ‘Cardiovascular’ when Treating Type 2 Diabetes

The Veterans Administration Diabetes Trial examined the effects of intensive glucose control using either
sulfonylurea (glimepiride), biguanide (metformin), thiazolidinediones (rosiglitazone), or insulin treatment. At the 6.25-year follow-up, no significant difference in cardiovascular outcomes was seen in the intensive control group compared with conventional treatment. The available agents used to treat diabetes have not been conclusively shown to reduce macrovascular disease, and, in some instances, their chronic use may promote negative cardiovascular outcomes in diabetic subjects, despite improvement in hyperglycemia. Importantly, these adverse cardiovascular side effects appear in several instances to be directly due to the mode of drug action. Together, these concepts underscore the need for new drugs in diabetes that not only address blood glucose levels, but have salutary effects on the pathophysiology of CVD.

With the CVD burden attributable to diabetes increasing, and expected to redouble over the next several decades, close and careful attention to the primary and secondary prevention strategies in this patient population is of paramount importance. Indeed, this paradigm supports the more aggressive widespread use of statins, antiplatelet agents, and antihypertensive drugs in diabetic patients, particularly those at highest risk of CVD.

**Might Incretin-Targeted Therapeutics Hold Promise?**

Recently, considerable interest has been generated by a novel class of antihyperglycemic agents that act at distinct levels of the “incretin” pathway. Incretins are a group of gastrointestinal hormones, predominantly GLP-1 and gastric inhibitory polypeptide, which increase postprandial levels of the “incretin” pathway. Incretins are a group of gastrointestinal hormones, predominantly GLP-1 and gastric inhibitory polypeptide, which increase postprandial levels of the “incretin” pathway. Importantly, these adverse cardiovascular side effects appear in several instances to be directly due to the mode of drug action. Together, these concepts underscore the need for new drugs in diabetes that not only address blood glucose levels, but have salutary effects on the pathophysiology of CVD.

**GLP-1 is an Incretin**

Although several gut hormones can demonstrate incretin-like effects, evidence from previous studies in humans and animal models have suggested that GLP-1 and gastric inhibitory polypeptide account for almost the entire incretin effect that facilitates disposal of ingested nutrients. GLP-1 also inhibits glucagon secretion and gastric emptying. Acute injection of GLP-1 produces a transient reduction in food intake, whereas prolonged administration of GLP-1 is associated with weight loss. GLP-1 analogues and GLP-1 receptor (GLP-1R) agonists also produce modest weight loss in diabetic subjects (Figure 1).

Of particular potential relevance to the cardiovascular field, GLP-1 exerts several other actions on pancreatic β cells besides the stimulation of insulin secretion. GLP-1 promotes insulin biosynthesis, β-cell proliferation and survival, and differentiation of exocrine cells or islet precursors toward a more differentiated β-cell state. GLP-1R–dependent augmentation of β-cell mass has been observed in diverse models, and increased functioning β-cell mass may delay the development of diabetes in rodents. Finally, GLP-1 reduced peroxide-induced apoptosis in insulinoma cells, and attenuated cytokine-induced apoptosis of β cells. Hence, GLP-1R–dependent activation of both proliferative and antiapoptotic pathways in the endocrine pancreas provides complementary mechanisms for enhancing β-cell mass.

**GLP-1 Synthesis, Secretion, and Degradation**

GLP-1 is derived from a proglucagon precursor that encodes GLP-1 and the related proglucagon-derived peptides: glucagon, GLP-2, oxyntomodulin, and glicentin. Two forms of GLP-1 are secreted after meal ingestion, which differ by a single amino acid: GLP-1(7-37) and GLP-1(7-36) amide. Both are equipotent and exhibit identical plasma half-life and biological activities through the same receptor. However, the majority (~80%) of circulating active GLP-1 appears to be GLP-1(7-36) amide (the latter being referred to more commonly as GLP-1). GLP-1 is synthesized within the L cells of the intestine. Plasma levels of GLP-1 are low in the fasting state and rise rapidly within minutes of food ingestion. GLP-1 secretion from the distal gut is controlled by neural and endocrine signals...
initiated by nutrient entry in the proximal gut and by direct nutrient contact with L cells. After secretion, GLP-1 is rapidly cleaved to GLP-1(9-36) at the position 2 alanine by the widely expressed enzyme dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4). The half-life of exogenously infused bioactive GLP-1 is less than 3 minutes because of enzymatic inactivation and renal clearance.

The GLP-1 Receptor

The actions of GLP-1 are transduced by a 7 transmembrane-spanning G protein-coupled receptor, the GLP-1R, which is expressed in islet ß cells, as well as in the heart and vasculature. Ligand activation of the GLP-1R stimulates adenylate cyclase via Gs, which leads to an increase in intracellular cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) and activation of protein kinase A. Hence, GLP-1 acts directly through the cAMP–protein kinase A pathway to enhance and sensitize ß cells to glucose-stimulated insulin secretion. Glucose metabolism in the ß-cell causes an increase in the concentration of ATP and raises the cytoplasmic ATP to adenosine disphosphate (ADP) ratio, which then leads to depolarization of the plasma membrane following closure of ATP-sensitive K channels. This permits opening of voltage-dependent L-type Ca2+ channels and increases cytosolic Ca2+, which triggers fusion of insulin-containing secretory vesicles to the plasma membrane. Exocytosis of insulin follows rapidly.

The physiological importance of endogenous GLP-1 has been studied intensively with specific GLP-1R antagonists. Infusion of exendin(9-39), the truncated lizard salivary gland peptide that functions as a GLP-1R antagonist in rats, mice, baboons, or humans, produces an increase in fasting glucose and glycemic excursion after glucose loading, in association with reduced levels of circulating insulin. Acute intracerebroventricular injection of exendin(9-39) increases food intake in satiated rats and repeated daily intracerebroventricular administration induces weight gain. Comparable studies with exendin(9-39) in humans demonstrate an essential role of endogenous GLP-1 in the regulation of glucagon and insulin secretion.

Drugs Targeting the GLP-1–GLP-1R Axis

The rapid degradation and clearance of endogenous and exogenous GLP-1 by DPP-4 has spurred the development of degradation-resistant GLP-1 analogues capable of extended in vivo activity and DPP-4 inhibitors capable of prolonging the activity of endogenous GLP-1. Two different types of GLP-1-targeted drugs, namely a GLP-1R agonist (exendin-4) and a DPP-4 inhibitor (sitagliptin), have been approved in many countries for the treatment of type 2 diabetes, including the United States. A second DPP-4 inhibitor, vildagliptin, is also used in many countries. Additional GLP-1 analogues and DPP-4 inhibitors are undergoing clinical trials or are being reviewed for applications as novel drugs. Collectively, if these agents are found to have beneficial effects on the pathophysiology of cardiac and vascular disease, they may emerge with a meaningful advantage over the more traditional diabetes drugs discussed previously.

Exendin-4

Exendin-4 (exenatide) is a 39 amino acid naturally occurring GLP-1R agonist isolated from the venom of the lizard *Heloderma suspectum*. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved synthetic exendin-4 for treatment of type 2 diabetes in April 2005. Exendin-4 binds to and activates the GLP-1R, but is highly resistant to the proteolytic action of DPP-4 in vivo. Therefore, exendin-4 is able to effectively enhance insulin secretion in diabetic subjects, and daily subcutaneous injections are able to significantly reduce blood glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin, an accepted measure of blood glucose levels over time. Reported side effects of exenatide include nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and loss of appetite and weight. Importantly, a few cases of acute pancreatitis have also been reported in patients treated with exenatide, prompting new prescribing instructions.

DPP-4 Inhibitors

Sitagliptin is an orally active DPP-4 inhibitor that prevents degradation of endogenously released GLP-1, increasing its circulating levels and prolonging its half-life. This agent has been approved for the treatment of type 2 diabetes in many different countries, including the U.S. since 2006. Clinical studies have shown that DPP-4 inhibitors reduce fasting and post-prandial blood glucose levels and glycosylated hemoglobin. The most common known side effects of sitagliptin include symptoms of an upper respiratory infection, such as stuffy or runny nose, sore throat, and headache.

The Cardioprotective and Vasoactive Actions of GLP-1

Studies of cardiovascular pathophysiology have primarily focused on elucidating the cardiac effects of GLP-1 in animal models of heart failure, and two pilot studies have also been conducted in humans. Among the first, conscious dogs with pacing-induced dilated cardiomyopathy received a 48-hour infusion of recombinant GLP-1 (1.5 pmol/kg/min) or saline (3 mL/d). Compared with saline-treated controls, GLP-1 infusions improved left ventricular contractility (92%), stroke volume (102%), and cardiac output (57%). GLP-1–treated dogs exhibited increased myocardial glucose uptake (46%) and oxygen consumption in the failing myocardium compared to saline-treated dogs.
consumption (9.4%), suggesting enhanced oxidative phosphorylation. As such, these authors posited that the beneficial effects of GLP-1R stimulation are due primarily to modulation of myocardial metabolism.\(^\text{113}\) In a small pilot study of 10 patients undergoing angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction, continuous 72-hour infusions of recombinant human GLP-1 (1.5 pmol/kg/min) significantly improved left ventricular ejection fraction (11%) and wall motion scores (21%) compared with untreated controls.\(^\text{32}\)

Interestingly, these improvements in cardiovascular function were sustained, remaining detectable in some patients even months after cessation of GLP-1 administration. The benefits of GLP-1 were independent of infarct location or history of diabetes; however, the molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying the observation were not explored.\(^\text{32}\) Similarly, a 5-week course of GLP-1 infusion improved left ventricular ejection fraction (4.4% to 7.7%; diabetics/nondiabetics) and exercise capacity (VO\(_2\text{-max:}\) 3.2 to 3.3 mL/kg/min) in a pilot study of both diabetic and nondiabetic subjects with congestive heart failure.\(^\text{36}\)

Finally, preoperative treatment with GLP-1 resulted in glycemic control and comparable hemodynamic recovery without high-dose insulin or inotropes following coronary artery bypass.\(^\text{114}\)

Bose et al demonstrated that treatment with GLP-1 (4.8 pmol/kg/min in vivo; 0.3 nmol in vitro) significantly reduced infarct size,\(^\text{115}\) and that this protective effect was blocked by the GLP-1R antagonist exendin(9-39), and by inhibitors of cAMP, phosphoinositide 3-kinases, and p42/44 mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) in both isolated perfused rat hearts and in a whole animal model of ischemia-reperfusion myocardial injury.\(^\text{115}\)

Intriguingly, these data implicate multiple downstream signaling pathways, each of which appears critical for mediating the cardioprotective effects of GLP-1. Most importantly, the benefits of GLP-1 in perfused hearts (ie, in vitro) appear to rule out an essential role for indirect (ie, noncardiac) effects of GLP-1 on myocardial protection.

Cardioprotective and Vasodilatory Actions of GLP-1 are Partly Mediated by Glp-1r-Independent Pathways

Given the accumulating evidence supporting a cardioprotective role for GLP-1, we sought to explore the precise mechanisms underlying these beneficial effects. Our original hypothesis was that the cardioprotection endowed by GLP-1 treatment would be derived from activation of the classic GLP-1R and its putative downstream signaling pathways. Hence, we expected that the protective effects of GLP-1 would be completely absent in mice lacking a functional GLP-1R (Glp-1r\(^{-/-}\)). Having previously generated this knockout animal\(^\text{116}\) and used it to examine the biological actions of GLP-1 in the pancreatic islet,\(^\text{117-119}\) and brain,\(^\text{120}\) we next examined the importance of the GLP-1R in the cardiovascular system.\(^\text{121}\) Our initial report on the cardiac phenotype of Glp-1r\(^{-/-}\) mice suggested that the GLP-1R played a role in normal cardiac structure and function, with Glp-1r\(^{-/-}\) mice exhibiting reduced heart rates, impaired inotropic responses, and abnormal left ventricular mass as compared with wild-type controls.\(^\text{121}\)

More Lessons from Glp-1r Knockout Mice

Employing an ex vivo perfused heart preparation and an ischemia-reperfusion (I/R) injury protocol, we showed that pretreatment with GLP-1 (0.3 nM) significantly enhanced the recovery of cardiac function (as determined by left ventricular developed pressure), and decreased cardiomyocyte necrosis (as measured by lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release) after I/R.\(^\text{100}\) Interestingly, pretreatment with the GLP-1R agonist exendin-4 (5 nM) resulted in a similar degree of cardioprotection from I/R injury in hearts of wild-type mice, but required a 10-fold higher dose as compared with native GLP-1. We were particularly surprised to discover that the protective effects of native GLP-1 were preserved in mice lacking a functional GLP-1R. Together with data showing that the salutary effects of exendin-4 (5 nM) were significantly reduced but not absent in Glp-1r\(^{-/-}\) hearts, this finding strongly suggested the existence of a GLP-1R–independent signaling pathway for cardioprotection.

An Alternate GLP-1 Receptor?

The question of a second structurally and functionally distinct receptor for GLP-1 has arisen previously. Several studies have demonstrated that not all of the effects of GLP-1 can be blocked by the known GLP-1R antagonist exendin(9-39) in organs such as liver and gut. Daniel et al observed that exendin(9-39) did not block the inhibitory actions of GLP-1 on gastrointestinal motility or gastric acid secretion.\(^\text{122}\) Additionally, GLP-1 increased basal and acute insulin-stimulated glucose uptake as well as GLUT1 and GLUT4 protein levels in fully differentiated 3T3-L1 adipocytes, where the existence of the known GLP-1R has not been conclusively demonstrated.\(^\text{123,124}\)

GLP-1(9-36): A Not-So-Inactive Metabolite

We next turned our attention to examining the potential role of GLP-1(9-36), the DPP-4–generated metabolite of GLP-1, as a potentially critical intermediary in GLP-1–mediated and GLP-1R–independent protection against I/R injury. Although GLP-1(9-36) has traditionally been considered as either an inactive or weak agonist of the GLP-1R, the vast majority of previous studies examining the cardiovascular effects of GLP-1 have been performed without inhibition of the highly active DPP-4 enzyme, making it difficult to determine whether the cardiovascular
Effects of GLP-1 were derived from GLP-1 itself, GLP-1(9-36), or both. Two recent reports ascribe protective cardiovascular effects directly to GLP-1(9-36). First, Nikolaidis et al observed that treatment with the truncated peptide GLP-1(9-36) increased myocardial glucose uptake and improved left ventricular performance in conscious dogs with dilated cardiomyopathy. Second, Sonne et al demonstrated that administration of GLP-1(9-36) following global ischemia significantly improved left ventricular pressure, although the treatment failed to reduce infarct size.

In our studies examining the effects of GLP-1(9-36) in I/R, we found that unlike GLP-1, pretreatment with GLP-1(9-36) before I/R exerted no beneficial effects on cardiac function. By contrast, when GLP-1(9-36) was infused after ischemia (ie, during the reperfusion phase), it dramatically augmented functional recovery and decreased cellular injury in hearts from both wild-type and Glp-1r−/− mice. Together, these data indicated that the beneficial effects of GLP-1 are mediated at least in part through GLP-1(9-36)-dependent and GLP-1R-independent mechanism.

GLP-1 Exhibits Vasodilatory Action

Another compelling finding of our study was that both GLP-1 and GLP-1(9-36) exhibited significant vasodilatory effects, increasing coronary flow in constantly perfused isolated hearts. To further examine the vascular actions of GLP-1 and GLP-1(9-36), we tested these agents in phenylephrine preconstricted isolated mesenteric arteries. Both agents significantly dilated preconstricted mesenteric arteries from wild-type mice. Furthermore, the vasodilatory effects of GLP-1 and GLP-1(9-36) were maintained in Glp-1r−/− mice, suggesting a Glp-1r-independent vasodilatory mechanism of GLP-1(9-36). We subsequently found these vasodilatory effects of both GLP-1 and GLP-1(9-36) to correlate with an increase in cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) release. Also, these vasodilatory actions were attenuated by preincubation of vessels with NG-nitro-L-arginine, a nonselective nitric oxide synthase (NOS) inhibitor, suggesting that at least part of their vasodilatory mechanism is nitric oxide (NO)/cGMP-dependent. Unlike GLP-1 or GLP-1(9-36), Exendin-4 did not produce any vasodilatation or cGMP release.

It has been well documented that NO activates soluble guanylate cyclase, which leads to the production of cGMP and activation of protein kinase G. Numerous studies have suggested that the NO-cGMP-protein kinase G signaling pathway induces cardioprotection against I/R injury via the opening of the mitochondrial K_{ATP} channel. This channel is considered one of the end effectors in ischemic preconditioning, a well-known intrinsic protective mechanism against I/R injury conferred by short periods of I/R sequences before introduction of prolonged ischemia. Several independent lines of evidence also demonstrate that administration of an NO donor such as sodium nitroprusside, natriuretic peptide, or a phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor enhanced cardiac function or reduced infarct size in ischemic hearts through increased cGMP levels mediating vasodilation. Moreover, a recent study from Sangawa et al showed that postischemic cardiac function was improved when atrial natriuretic peptide was infused only during reperfusion. Cardioprotective effects were not observed with atrial natriuretic peptide administration before the onset of ischemia, which is similar to the results we obtained with GLP-1(9-36).

To verify whether DPP-4–generated GLP-1(9-36) plays a critical role in GLP-1–mediated cardioprotection and vasodilation, we tested the effects of GLP-1 treatment in the presence of the commercially available DPP-4 inhibitor Sitagliptin. On blocking the metabolic conversion of GLP-1 to GLP-1(9-36) in preconstricted mesenteric arteries and in isolated hearts undergoing I/R, we showed that both the vasodilatory and cardioprotective effects of GLP-1 were significantly reduced. These experiments further supported that the cardiovascular effects of GLP-1 are partly mediated by a GLP-1(9-36)-dependent pathway. Importantly, significant degrees of vasodilation and cardioprotection remained after DPP-4 inhibition, supporting the notion that some of the cardiovascular effects of native GLP-1 are still mediated by GLP-1R–dependent mechanisms.

Other experiments support a vasoactive role for GLP-1. Golpon et al and Nystrom et al observed that GLP-1 caused both dose- and time-dependent relaxation of rat pulmonary artery rings and femoral arteries. Continuous infusion of GLP-1 also improved peripheral blood flow in short-term studies of human subjects with type 2 diabetes. In addition, both short and longer term treatments with GLP-1 receptor agonists reduced systolic and diastolic BPs in diabetic patients. These BP effects may not entirely be attributable to the indirect benefits of weight loss, because they were evident after even a few weeks of treatment. Most recently, Green et al demonstrated that five peptides structurally related to GLP-1, including exendin(9-39), a potent GLP-1R antagonist, caused concentration-dependent vasorelaxation. They further revealed that these relaxant effects are mediated via cAMP-K_{ATP} channel-dependent mechanisms, because the observed vasorelaxant effects were completely blunted by pharmacological blockade of either cAMP (Rp-cAMPS) or K_{ATP} channels (glybenclamide), providing evidence that GLP-1 may also modulate vascular function through classic GLP-1R–dependent adenylate cyclase–coupled mechanisms.

Implications for Cardiovascular Pathophysiology

Based on accumulating evidence, we propose a novel two-pathway schema for cardiovascular actions of GLP-1: GLP-1-initiated classic GLP-1R activation that results in ischemic “preconditioning” and vasodilatory actions; and
GLP-1(9-36)–dependent effects via a putative alternate receptor of ischemic “postconditioning,” and vasodilatation through a NO/cGMP-dependent mechanism, which are both known to participate in cardioprotection in the setting of I/R injury (Figure 2).129,132

Conclusions

In December 2008, the FDA issued guidance that all new drugs developed for the treatment of type 2 diabetes should be subjected to study to rule out any increase in the risk of cardiovascular events. A case can be made that such safety information should also be sought for drugs already on the market, because for the majority of traditional oral agents used to control hyperglycemia in diabetic patients, long-term effects on cardiovascular outcomes are either undetermined, negative, or, at best, neutral. Because diabetes is closely linked to CVD, we propose that a careful (re)examination of the mechanisms of action of the traditional anti-diabetic drugs on cardiovascular physiology be encouraged. Indeed, our overview of the current literature in this field suggests that conventional oral diabetic treatments present diverse cardiovascular effects. By contrast, preclinical data for a new class of incretin-based diabetic treatments such as GLP-1, its derivatives, and inhibitors of its degradation enzyme DPP-4, suggest that these agents may enable cardioprotective and vasodilatory effects in addition to glycemic control. Notwithstanding the many favorable cardiovascular effects of GLP-1/incretins reported in a number of studies, including ours, many questions remain unanswered. First, the number of studies directly examining the effects of GLP-1 on cardiovascular endpoints in humans has been very limited. There remains the possibility that the salutary cardiovascular effects of incretin-based therapies observed in these small pilot human studies (and in the many more animal studies) will not translate to larger and longer term clinical studies. Furthermore, it remains uncertain as to whether the cardiovascular actions of GLP-1 observed in animal models and humans are mediated by the canonical GLP-1 receptor. It appears that GLP-1(9-36) amide, the metabolite of GLP-1, shares many of the beneficial effects of GLP-1, and may mediate these effects through an alternate receptor. Whether the latter is accessible to GLP-1 or traditional GLP-1 receptor agonists is not known. Moreover, whether the biology of GLP-1(9-36) observed in animal models hold true in humans has not been explored. As such, further studies examining the signaling pathways activated by GLP-1(9-36) and ultimate identification of its putative receptor are also needed.

Finally, we believe there is compelling need for carefully designed clinical-experimental studies specifically investigating the cardiovascular impact of GLP-1/incretin-based therapies in diabetic patients. Although preliminary studies examining the cardiovascular actions of GLP-1 have been conducted in small numbers of nondiabetic patients, larger and longer term clinical studies employing GLP-1 receptor agonists or DPP-4 inhibitors in patients with diabetes have been missing. For example, the recently launched TECOS’ trial, the large-scale randomized placebo-controlled clinical Trial to Evaluate Cardiovascular Outcomes after treatment with Sitagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and inadequate glycemic control on mono- or dual combination oral antihyperglycemic therapy, is an important initiative. Based on the early and predominantly preclinical findings reviewed here, together with the reduction in BP observed in human studies of GLP-1 receptor agonists, we would predict that GLP-1–targeted therapies for diabetes may be accompanied by improved cardiovascular outcomes in
larger and longer term clinical studies. We believe that the preclinical evidence in support of this hypothesis is compelling.
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